

NAMMCO



COMMITTEE ON INSPECTION AND OBSERVATION

*1-2 October 2019
Copenhagen, Denmark*

REPORT



© North Atlantic Marine Mammal Commission

Please cite this report as:

NAMMCO-North Atlantic Marine Mammal Commission (2019). Report of the Committee on Inspection and Observation, October 2019, Copenhagen, Denmark.

Available at https://nammco.no/topics/cio_reports/

DISCLAIMER:

The content of this report contains the view of the Committee and does not necessarily represent the views of the Council.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 0. SUMMARY | 4 |
| 1. ADOPTION OF AGENDA AND REVIEW OF DOCUMENTS | 4 |
| 2. COMMITTEE ANNUAL ACTIVITY-MEETING SCHEDULE | 4 |
| 3. PANEL REVIEW RECOMMENDATIONS | 5 |
| 3.1 PR18_RC20 and PR-RC25 | 5 |
| 3.2 PR18-RC25..... | 6 |
| 3.3 PR18-RC7..... | 6 |
| 3.4 PR18-RC54..... | 6 |
| 4. DATABASE, DATA REQUIREMENTS AND DEADLINES | 7 |
| 5. OBSERVATION SCHEME | 8 |
| 5.1 Outstanding questions..... | 8 |
| 5.2 Work insurance..... | 8 |
| 5.2.1 Safety courses..... | 9 |
| 5.2.2 Safety equipment..... | 9 |
| 5.2.3 Health certificate | 9 |
| 6. GUIDELINES TO OBSERVATION SCHEME | 9 |
| 6.1 Appointment procedure | 9 |
| 6.2 Deadlines for identification of scope | 10 |
| 7. REPORTING | 10 |
| 7.1 National check lists – one per hunt | 10 |
| 7.2 NAMMCO reporting forms..... | 11 |
| 8. EVALUATION OF THE SCHEME | 11 |
| 8.1 Coverage rates..... | 11 |
| 8.2 Evaluation procedure..... | 12 |
| 9. TRAINING COURSE OBSERVERS | 12 |
| 10. IDENTIFYING SCOPE OF OBSERVATION ACTIVITIES IN 2020 | 13 |
| 11. NEXT MEETING | 13 |
| 12. ADOPTION OF THE REPORT | 13 |
| Appendix 1 | 14 |
| Appendix 2 | 15 |

0. SUMMARY

The Committee on Inspection and Observation (CIO) met 1 and 2 October at the Greenlandic Representation in Copenhagen, Denmark.

Present were Guðni Magnús Eiríksson, Chair (Iceland), Nette Levermann (Greenland), Hild Ynnesdal (Norway), Ulla Svarrer Wang (Faroe Islands) and Charlotte Winsnes from the Secretariat.

Actions arising from the meeting

Secretariat

- To contact the International Labour Organisation to (ILO) to explore options for short time contracts like the NAMMCO observes

Chair and Secretariat

- Observer training course: develop a final programme and proposals for standardised presentation material for circulation to CIO for adoption and cooperate on logistics for the course to be held in Iceland in February 2020

All members

- 1 November 2019 Parties to send list of Observer candidates with qualifications
- 1 December 2019 develop hunt specific check lists in excel format + identify possible coverage rate(s)
- Submit copies of logbooks and licenses for the next meeting

1. ADOPTION OF AGENDA AND REVIEW OF DOCUMENTS

Chair Guðni Magnús Eiríksson opened the meeting, the agenda was adopted (appendix 1), and the list of documents reviewed (appendix 2). Document NAMMCO/CHM/CIO/BYCELS-2019-01 draft annual time schedule was redrawn.

2. COMMITTEE ANNUAL ACTIVITY-MEETING SCHEDULE

CIO agreed that it would be beneficial to identify an annual time schedule of meetings and tasks. In doing so the Committee took into consideration the deadline for annual reporting of data for CHM/CIO/ BYCELS and deadlines set by the observation scheme, meeting dates of Council, CHM and BYCELS.

Winsnes informed that the Scientific Committee is requesting a change of annual meeting schedule from November to spring. The issue will be forwarded to FAC for consideration at their upcoming November meeting, and depending on the outcome, will have effect on the Council meetings as of 2021.

CIO discussed deadlines for data submission and agreed on 1 February. This will give members the required time to time to compile the necessary data from the previous year and will also accommodate committee meetings as early as mid-February should Council decide to keep the present meeting schedule.

The following schedule was agreed:

2019

- 1 November 2019 Parties to send list of Observer candidates with qualifications

- 18 November Secretariat circulate list of nominated observer candidates for approval by Council
- 18 November Scope and range of Observation activities 2020 circulated to FAC for approval
- 1 December nominated observer candidates approved unless reservations are submitted by any of the member countries. If a reservation to a candidate is submitted this candidate is by default not approved and thus will not be part of the observation corps/pool

2020

- 1 February deadline for submission of National Progress Reports from member countries.
- 5 – 7 February training course observers Reykjavik, Iceland
- 8 – 11 February meetings of CIO/CHM/BYCELS, exact dates to be confirmed
- 16 – 19 March NAMMCO 28, Oslo, Norway
- September/October CIO meeting to address:
 - Identify scope and range of observation activities 2021
- Skype meetings as needed

3. PANEL REVIEW RECOMMENDATIONS

The Working Group (PRWG) reviewing and following up the recommendations from the Performance Review Panel is seeking the views of NAMMCO subsidiary bodies on the recommendations specific to their areas of work. These inputs will represent an important input to the PRWG formulation of follow up actions to be forwarded to Council.

Document NAMMCO/CIO-2019-03/03 contained the letter from PRWG requesting CIO to address recommendations related to the committee. Additional documents considered under this agenda item were NAMMCO/PRWG19-01: the full report of the Performance Review Panel and NAMMCO/PRWG19-01: the report of the June meeting of the PRWG.

PRWG had asked CIO to consider the following when addressing the forwarded recommendations:

- the relevance of the recommendations,
- inform of further issues they have identified specifically related to specific recommendations but also in general within the work of their committee,
- propose ways for implementing the recommendations and improving processes if relevant.

Recommendation identification key PR18-RCXX reads Performance Review 2018-recommendation number. The text given below includes summaries of the recommendation and the PRWG's comments to the recommendations.

3.1 PR18_RC20 AND PR-RC25

PRWG comment pertaining to both recommendations: The priority is to ensure a reliable database (storage of data) with guidelines on data quality and sharing.

PR18-RC20

The Panel noted the low number of strandings reported and the absence of information on hunting effort and ship strikes in the NAMMCO data spreadsheet. Measures of hunting effort related to catches of some species could be obtained relatively easily from analyses of hunting licenses issued, logbooks and inspection and observation reports and interviews with hunters. The Panel recommended that ship strikes be reported more consistently.

Response:

- a) CIO noted that the recommendation primarily addresses reporting of ship strikes and that this is a concern under BYCELS and did not discuss it further
- b) Not considered

- c) Not considered

3.2 PR18-RC25

Recommendation relates to the question of standardising data reporting from Parties to NAMMCO, ensuring data quality and storage.

CIO response:

- a) CIO considered the recommendation highly relevant
- b) CIO strongly emphasised the importance of safe storage of data with suitable platform to ensure standardisation, continuity and accessibility. CIO also recommended that the Secretariat look at how data storage is handled in comparable fisheries organisations (NEAFC, NAFO, IWC)
- c) CIO drew attention to the already ongoing work undertaken by the committee to standardise annual reporting of data from Parties by extending the national progress reports to also include data from CIO/CHM/BYCELS in addition to SC. This work entails identification of which data should be submitted and in what format, one annual deadline for data submission and how and in which form the submitted data can become publicly available on the website.

3.3 PR18-RC7

Recommendation to establish a formal procedure to review and update the NAMMCO website regularly. It is suggested that Committees together with the Secretariat periodically review and endorse their relevant sectors.

PRWG comment:

The website is the most important visibility and outreach tool of NAMMCO. Its content should be correct and updated. The Committees should be the guarantee of the quality of its content. The review of the content should be one of their recurrent tasks.

CIO response:

- a) CIO considered the recommendation highly relevant
- b) No further issues were identified
- c) CIO agreed to recommend the following 3-step process for its work to ensure the quality of the content:
 1. to review all current text and information related to Inspection and Observation on the website.
 2. make reviewing new information on the website a standard agenda item for the annual meeting of the committee. If needed this may also be done by correspondence intersessional
 3. consider how to make the work of the committee more visible, i. e. how to report on past observations and future plans, how to report on the evaluation of the Observation Scheme

Acknowledging the limited amount of text presently displayed on the website CIO agreed to carry out step 1 during the meeting. Some edits were made accordingly.

3.4 PR18-RC54

Recommendation pertains to revise the Observation Scheme to make benefits and costs more balanced and the work more accessible to the public. Presently it does not give accurate information of a specific hunt over time, and if one of the objectives of the scheme is to obtain an accurate understanding of the level of compliance with national laws implementing NAMMCO recommendations, the Panel believes that significantly more resources will need to be devoted to the operation of the Observations Scheme.

PRWG comment:

- Seek input from CIO on priorities, effort to reach objective, and alternative methods.
- Priorities of observation could be assigned in relation to hunting levels.
- CIO to increase transparency on website.

CIO response:

- a) CIO noted that a new Observation Scheme is in place with new purpose and objectives. CIO also noted that the issue of transparency on website was dealt with under RC7.
- b) CIO noted that the idea of conducting regular audits of the national inspection programmes could be interesting. However, it would have to be further defined and considered in relation to the overall scope of the CIO ToR.
- c) New provisions and ongoing development of guidelines are the 1st step to meet the recommendation.

CIO agreed to the following elements for prioritisation of scope of observations:

- Hunting level
- Hunts not covered by national inspectors – monitored by humans
- Implementation and compliance of new recommendations into national regulations
- Hunts where the SC or CHM request data
- Rotation between member countries
- Rotation between hunts (time interval when last observed)
- Maximising the effort to reach the objectives by allocating money from several years into one year.
- Practicality of the respective hunts making the observation assignment not feasible
 - Small scale hunts that are happening infrequently and in remote areas

CIO discussed if and how the information collected through the logbooks or collected in connection with issuing licenses could be best used. What kind of analyses would be feasible based on the information? CIO agreed to discuss this further at the next meeting. Members would submit licences and logbooks to this end prior to the meeting.

4. DATABASE, DATA REQUIREMENTS AND DEADLINES

CIO in cooperation with CHM and BYCELS had recommended to Council to synchronise the submission of standardised data from all committees. The proposal, endorsed by Council 27, was to extend the current National Progress Report to include the required annual data reporting from all committees.

Document NAMMCO/CHM/CIO/BYCELS-2019-02 contained the previously identified data requirements, and CIO discussed and finalised the relevant parts (hunting effort and national inspection effort). It was agreed to use the categories as defined in the revised document 02 for the reporting of 2019. Document. The document “*NPR data reporting (Country) Year*” is attached to this report and contains the revisions.

CIO also discussed the deadline for submission of the data. Currently the deadline for NPR is 1 March. However, taking into consideration that all required data would be available and accessible by 1 February making it possible to schedule meetings from early February as opposed to one month later, CIO agreed to propose 1 February as the annual deadline.

The issue of developing a database with the Secretariat as depository had not been discussed by Council 27. CIO underlined that the important part is to collect standardised data that allow comparisons between hunts and countries. The specific platform where the data are stored should be defined at some stage but the essential issue for the committee is the quality and accessibility of the data. It was noted that the aim was to have standardised data to facilitate and make comparisons between countries possible. CIO agreed that the reliability of the data is the responsibility of the

members whereas storage and identification and standardisation of the required data is the responsibility of NAMMCO.

5. OBSERVATION SCHEME

5.1 OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS

Two categories of observer candidates are currently operative, namely employees of national control agencies (ENCA) and others not employed by national control agencies. The two categories present different scenarios of consideration under this agenda item.

At the last meeting CIO agreed that:

- Parties will be responsible for nominating observer candidates that meet the agreed upon requirements related to safety courses and certificates. The requirements to be determined.
- NAMMCO will finance a minimum storage of required safety suits/vests for observers going to Greenland.

5.2 WORK INSURANCE

At the last meeting CIO tasked the Chair and the Secretariat to find out whether the work insurance (statutory occupational injury insurance/yrkesskade forsikring) also would cover ENCAs when working as observers for NAMMCO and what kind of insurance would be appropriate for observers who are not ENCA.

The present observer pool consists of ENCAs and non ENCAs with the Greenlandic and Icelandic observers being ENCAs while the Norwegian and Faroese observers are employed elsewhere.

The standard work insurance for ENCAs have covered work at sea and land, but only during working hours. Contract work for NAMMCO in their spare time (holiday) is not covered by the work insurance.

Enrolling the observers in the statutory occupational injury insurance held by NAMMCO will represent a complex and difficult situation, especially from the point of view of the observers. It would require obtaining a Norwegian ID number and having to register as employees of NAMMCO which in turn would make tax reporting to Norway a statutory requirement. What kind of consequences if any, such employment by NAMMCO would entail in their home country was not discussed. Under the current system Norwegian observers are automatically reported to the Norwegian tax authorities whereas all non-Norwegian observers are themselves responsible for reporting their income from NAMMCO to the tax authority in their country.

CIO discussed the possibility of observers being employed by a national institution of the nominating Party which would subsequently be reimbursed by NAMMCO. It was however envisaged that such a situation might comprise unnecessary complicating issues of a more legal nature like the principle of the instruction authority.

CIO emphasised the importance of ensuring that the observers have appropriate coverage in case of an accident. Investigations have confirmed that this probably will not be possible via the statutory occupational injury insurances in member countries and thus it will have to be covered by private insurances. CIO underlined the importance of defining a standard minimum coverage for such private insurances and defining a process ensuring that the observers have this standard insurance.

The Secretariat was tasked with contacting the International Labour Organisation to (ILO) to explore options for short time contracts like the NAMMCO observes. If needed CIO agreed to confer with legal experts to ensure adequate insurance coverage and to also establish a correct procedure to ensure that the observers have the required minimum standards. It was envisaged that these conditions and requirements should be clearly stated in writing including who is responsible for what.

5.2.1 Safety courses

All Parties have mandatory safety and/or rescue courses for their fisheries inspectors/observers with varying content and time duration. To make an informed decision on requirements for this kind of courses Parties had been tasked to find out what the national courses entailed and report back to the Secretariat by 1 June. Based on the received information and the discussion CIO agreed on the following:

- NAMMCO will not arrange safety courses for appointed observers. Nominated observers shall have a minimum standard of survival at sea, meeting the requirements of STCW section A-VI/1 and being based on the guidelines of IMO Model Courses. The nominating Party is responsible that these minimum standards are met.

Reference to IMO: <http://www.adrialibar.com/tecajevi/basic-safety-training-stcw-a-vi-1/18.html>

Norway noted that this represented a very strong requirement given that the safety issue related to the different hunts differ, i. e. observing the Faroese drive hunt has usually meant standing on land observing.

5.2.2 Safety equipment

Observations in Iceland and Norway do not represent an issue as Icelandic and Norwegian hunting vessels are required to be equipped with survival suits for all onboard. In the Faroese the requirement is to have a life vest when on board a small boat. In Greenland only larger harpoon gun vessels have survival suits.

At the last meeting CIO agreed to recommend that NAMMCO finance a minimum storage of safety suits/vests in Greenland. Levermann and Winsnes will cooperate on the practicalities for selection and storage of the safety equipment.

CIO further agreed that NAMMCO should ensure that life vests are available for observers going to the Faroes, either by financing a suitable storage or by requisition from the national authorities.

5.2.3 Health certificate

All seafarers and fishermen including inspectors on board Norwegian, Faroese and Greenlandic ships must hold a valid health certificate whereby the seafarer is found fit for ship service, possibly with limitations. It was anticipated that this is also the case for Iceland. Health certificates are issued following a medical examination by a maritime medical practitioner and follows the Maritime Labour Convention (MLC) and the STCW Convention.

CIO agreed that all observers would be required to have a health certificate for seamen and that this would be included in the qualification requirements that the nominating Parties are responsible for.

6. GUIDELINES TO OBSERVATION SCHEME

Document NAMMCO7CIO/2019-03/07 contained the Provisions of the Inspection and Observation Scheme, including guidelines to Section B.

6.1 APPOINTMENT PROCEDURE

CIO agreed at the last meeting to reconsider the time schedule of the appointment procedure for observers in relation to the timing of the CIO annual meetings.

The current text of the guidelines to Section B, point 1.1 Appointment of observers reads:

- *The Council appoints observers for one year at a time.*

- *Member countries develop a list of candidates for the following year and send it to the Secretariat by 1 June. Member countries may nominate non-nationals as observers.*
- *The list shall include information on the qualifications of the candidates. The Secretariat circulates by 15 June a combined list of suggested candidates to member countries for approval. In the event that a member country wishes to make a reservation to any candidate, this must be done before 15 August. It is understood that a reservation to the appointment of a particular candidate is a reservation to his/her appointment as a NAMMCO observer in any area of activity. The Secretariat then circulates the list of candidates approved by all member countries to the Council for appointment by 1 September.*

Following the 2013 training course NAMMCO had *de facto* had an observer corps. Taking this into account CIO agreed to propose the following process for appointment of observers, current text point 1.1. cited above, to be replaced by:

- Council approves and appoints observers. An approved observer will continue to function until her/his services are redrawn/cancelled either by personal notification or notification by the (nominating) member country. Member countries are required to provide a minimum of two observer candidates to the pool of observers.

Nomination and approval process of observers

- *Member countries nominate observer candidates by notifying the Secretariat of their names and qualifications. The Secretariat subsequently forward the list of nominees with information on the qualification of the candidates to all members for their approval.*
- *The member countries will have a month to consider the nominations after which the candidates are considered approved.*
- *A reservation to a candidate from a member country must be communicated to the Secretariat and all member countries within this month. It is understood that a reservation to the appointment of a candidate is a reservation to his/her appointment as a NAMMCO observer in any area of activity.*
- *These candidates shall not be included in the observer corps.*

6.2 DEADLINES FOR IDENTIFICATION OF SCOPE

CIO agreed that the current deadlines for proposing and approving the scope of observation activities in a given year could stand. The text reads as follows:

Plans for observation and activities

By 1 May each year the Secretariat in cooperation with CIO develops a proposal for the Council for the scope and range of observation activities during the following year in accordance with budgeted funds for these activities. The Council shall approve this proposal ~~and appoint the observers~~ by 1 June.

7. REPORTING

At the last meeting CIO agreed to continue the discussion to identify new reporting requirements and the hunt specific check lists. To facilitate and move forward Eiríksson, Levermann and Winsnes had been tasked with identifying which data requirements would form the basis for the reporting forms, and a skype meeting was held 17 July.

7.1 NATIONAL CHECK LISTS – ONE PER HUNT

The Skype meeting agreed that check lists should be hunt specific and be developed per hunt. Drafts for whaling with harpoon grenade in GL, IS, NO and the drive hunt in FO (documents NAMMCO/CIO-

2019-03/04 GL, NO, FO) had been circulated to the respective members for comments prior to the meeting.

CIO acknowledged the extent in numbers and diversity of methods of the hunting activities in the member countries and agreed that not all hunts were priority hunts for observation. Some hunts are so insignificant in terms of animals taken and/or takes place in such a manner that the revenue or outcome of assigning observers to these hunts would be marginal at best with a likelihood of observing anything close to zero. CIO discussed which hunts to priorities and identified the following target- and non-target hunts for observation:

- IS: Fin- and minke whale hunts. The seal hunt is not a target hunt due to practical reasons
- GL: Large whale hunts, seal hunts, narwhal and beluga hunts, walrus hunts
- FO: Drive hunts. No regulations on seals thus not a target for observation
- NO: Minke whale hunt and pack ice sealing. Coastal sealing is not a priority due to the practicality of the exercise

CIO discussed the intention behind the check lists and emphasised that the lists are intended to aid observers to carry out their duties. Observers are faced with challenges both practical and more communicational, and the lists should to the degree possible pinpoint what the observer should look for.

Based on the priorities and the fact that the building block of the check lists are national regulations CIO agreed that each member should elaborate and develop hunt specific check lists and submit these by 1st December to the Secretariat. It was agreed to use excel as format for the check lists.

7.2 NAMMCO REPORTING FORMS

The Skype meeting had tasked Winsnes to combine the existing three report forms into one single form for observers to fill in. Document NAMMCO/CIO-2019-03/05 represented such a draft.

Reviewing the existing forms and the tabled draft CIO agreed that all the requested information could ideally be incorporated into the hunt specific check lists with the advantage that the observer would only have one form to deal with.

It is the responsibility of the Secretariat to compile the statistical information and write the annual report to CIO and Council of the implementation of the observation activities in a season based on the submitted check lists.

8. EVALUATION OF THE SCHEME

CIO acknowledged that the financial and human resources aspects of the Observation Scheme represents a major undertaking for NAMMCO and that assessing the usefulness of such an enterprise would be important. Time restricted CIO postponed an in-depth discussion on how to evaluate and what to assess touching upon some possible issues:

- Whether the financial allocation is proportionate to what comes out of the Scheme?
- Does the Scheme meet its described purpose?
- Elements like the effectiveness, the functionality and the usefulness of the Scheme

8.1 COVERAGE RATES

Document NAMMCO/CIO/2019-03/08 contained the review of the Observation Scheme undertaken by the Secretariat and CIO. CIO had specifically been asked to revisit the part discussing different coverage rates with the aim of identifying which rates could be used for the different hunts.

Document NAMMCO/CIO/2019-03/06 gave an overview of all different hunts in NAMMCO with hunts observed in the period 1998 – 2017. The overview was tabled to give some input to a discussion on the need to identify priority hunts for observation.

Assuming coverage rates might be *one* useful tool for evaluating the Scheme, CIO tasked the members to consider and give proposals for applicable coverage rates when developing the hunt specific check lists – see agenda item 7.1. Sticking to the deadline set for submitting the check lists gave a deadline for of 1st December.

After having identified applicable coverage rates for the different hunts, CIO envisaged that the next step would be to identify the required % of a coverage rate for the specific hunt.

8.2 EVALUATION PROCEDURE

CIO agreed to the importance of setting up a procedure for assessing the Scheme based on the purpose of the Scheme as defined by the Provisions.

From the Provisions of the Observation Scheme:

1. The purpose of this Observation Scheme is to collect reliable information on all kinds of hunting activities in the NAMMCO Member Countries. The objectives are to

- a) provide a mechanism for NAMMCO to oversee whether recommendations made by NAMMCO are implemented and national regulations are adhered to*
- b) provide a foundation for the member states to evaluate whether a recommendation is serving its purpose or not*
- c) contribute to the improvement of the national regulation of hunting activities in the Member Countries*

2. These objectives will be reached by NAMMCO appointing observers to observe hunting and inspection activities in NAMMCO member countries and the Committee on Inspection and Observation reviewing the observation activities and the implementation of NAMMCO recommendations in national legislations (see section 1).

CIO agreed that an important aspect of such an evaluation would be to assess member countries compliance to NAMMCO recommendations, i.e. how Parties respond to a given recommendation. Discussion evolved around what kind of recommendations would fall under the umbrella of the Scheme, and whether the Management Committee document “Overview of recommendations for conservation and management” could be used as a directing tool. It was emphasised that recommendations must be of a nature that makes them observable both for the observer and the CIO.

CIO agreed to include evaluation of Parties compliance to recommendations as a standard item on its meeting agenda.

9. TRAINING COURSE OBSERVERS

Document NAMMCO/CIO-2019-03/09 gave a proposal for programme and other background information such as the participants evaluation of the last 2013 training course. CIO had previously agreed to organise the training course in week 6 (3 – 7 February) in Iceland. Furthermore, all Parties had been asked to nominate a maximum of three observer candidates for participation in the course.

Discussion centred around:

- logistical issues like flight schedules to and from Greenland and the Faroe Islands.
- language challenge. Feedback from the participants at the last course pointed to a language barrier and the difficulties of understanding, especially when presenters spoke fast.

It was agreed that the course would be held 5, 6 and 7 February.

CIO acknowledged that language would always represent a challenge, and that there are no easy solutions. The ability to communicate and understand is of the outermost importance as this is linked to personnel safety when observers operate out at sea. CIO expressed the opinion that communication

is not merely a question of language skills but also the ability to interpret situations, behaviour and body language, all qualities of importance for any NAMMCO observer.

CIO briefly discussed the draft programme, noting in particular the feedback from 2013 regarding the importance of receiving information on what it entails to be an observer from an experienced observer with intimate knowledge of this. Eiríksson and Winsnes volunteered to develop a final programme and proposals for standardised presentation material for circulation to CIO for adoption.

Members agreed to submit the names of their observer candidates by 1st November.

10. IDENTIFYING SCOPE OF OBSERVATION ACTIVITIES IN 2020

Document NAMMCO/CIO/2019-03/06 gave an overview of all different hunts in NAMMCO and hunts observed in the period 1998 – 2017.

CIO discussed the scope for season 2020 and agreed to start the season after the training course in February. The following scopes were proposed:

Option 1: Norwegian pack ice sealing. Based on the principle of rotation between hunts (last observed in 2008) and the fact that inspectors are always present. CIO agreed to plan for a duration of maximum 6 weeks.

Option 2: Greenland. Walrus and beluga hunting in March/April in Disko and Sisimiut. Based on rotation between countries (last observed in Greenland in 2014), the level of the hunt and the possibility to get more information on struck and lost.

11. NEXT MEETING

CIO agreed to hold the next meeting back to back with the training course on Saturday 8 February in Iceland.

12. ADOPTION OF THE REPORT

The report was adopted by circulation on 27 November 2019.

APPENDIX 1

AGENDA

- 1. ADOPTION OF AGENDA AND REVIEW OF DOCUMENTS**
- 2. COMMITTEE ANNUAL ACTIVITY-MEETING SCHEDULE**
- 3. PANEL REVIEW RECOMMENDATIONS**
- 4. DATABASE, DATA REQUIREMENTS AND DEADLINES**
- 5. OBSERVATION SCHEME**
 - 5.1 Outstanding questions**
 - 5.1.1 Work insurance**
 - 5.1.2 Safety courses**
 - 5.1.3 Safety equipment**
 - 5.1.4 Health certificate**
- 6. GUIDELINES TO OBSERVATION SCHEME**
 - 6.1 Appointment procedure**
 - 6.2 Deadlines for identification of scope**
- 7. REPORTING**
 - 7.1 National control lists – one per hunt**
 - 7.2 NAMMCO reporting forms – one per hunt**
- 8. EVALUATION OF THE SCHEME**
 - 8.1 Coverage rates**
 - 8.2 Evaluation procedure**
- 9. TRAINING COURSE OBSERVERS**
- 10. IDENTIFYING SCOPE OF OBSERVATION ACTIVITIES IN 2020**
- 11. NEXT MEETING**
- 12. ADOPTION OF THE REPORT**

APPENDIX 2

LIST OF DOCUMENTS

Working Documents

| Doc. No. | Title | Agenda item |
|--|--|-------------|
| CIO/2019-03/01 | Draft Agenda | 1 |
| CIO/2019-03/02 | Draft List of Documents | 1 |
| CIO/2019-03/03 | Letter from Working Group on Performance Review follow up | 3 |
| CIO/2019-03/04 | Draft hunt specific control lists | 7.1 |
| CIO/2019-03/05 | Draft NAMMCO observer reporting form | 7.2 |
| NAMMCO/PRWG19-01 | Report of the PRWG meeting June | 3 |
| NAMMCO27-2019 | Report of the Performance Review Panel | 3 |
| NAMMCO/CHM/CIO/BYCELS-2019/01 | Draft annual time schedule— Not Prepared | 2 |
| NAMMCO/CHM/CIO/BYCELS-2019/02 | Data needs all committees | 4 |
| CIO/2019-03/06 | Overview of hunts in NAMMCO and hunts observed 1998 -2017 | 8.1, 10 |
| CIO/2019-03/07 | New Provisions incl. guidelines to Section B | 6, 8.2 |
| CIO/2019-03/08 | Review of the Observation Scheme | 8.1 |
| CIO/2019-03/09 | Observer training course - draft programme and relevant background information | 9 |

For Information Documents

| Doc. No. | Title | Agenda item |
|----------|--|-------------|
| | Report of previous CIO meetings https://nammco.no/topics/cio_reports/ | all |
| | Inspection and Observation Scheme endorsed April 2019 https://nammco.no/topics/control-scheme-for-the-hunting-of-marine-mammals/ | all |