



NAMMCO PERFORMANCE REVIEW

First Meeting of the Performance Review Panel

Skype call

January 10, 13:00 - Tromsø time

(7:00 Washington DC, 12:00 UK, 21:00 Japan)

Draft Agenda 2 (10-01-2018)

1. Opening of the meeting

- a. Welcome to Panel & Panel member's presentation
- b. Election of Chair of the Review Panel / Meeting Chair
- c. Appointment of rapporteur (Secretariat)
- d. Adoption of agenda
- e. Review of Objectives and Terms of Reference

2. Evaluation of present arrangements

- a. Time line
- b. Criteria
- c. Panel composition
- d. Resources

3. *Modus operandi*

- a. Overview of issues to be looked at
- b. Work division (panel members, secretariat)
- c. Mechanic of producing the document
- d. Work schedule
- e. Meeting schedule
- f. Other

4. Definition of needs

- a. Consultation of interested entities
 - i. Parties (e.g. member countries and resource users)
 - ii. Non-parties (e.g. observers)
 - iii. Modus operandi (Interviews or questionnaires)
- b. Overview documents (e.g., Relevant international instrument, General time line, Request for advice and answers, Management proposals and answers)
- c. Other documents/reports
- d. Others

5. Next meeting

ANNOTATIONS

Agenda 2.b - Criteria

From the Review Process adopted by Council 25

2. ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

The proposed review criteria for NAMMCO have been developed on the base of the “Kobe Criteria for Reviewing the Performance of RFMOs” (FAO 2015) and amended to reflect NAMMCO’s mandate and membership. They are listed in Table 1. The list of criteria may be supplemented by the Review Panel in agreement with Council.

Comments from Dan

I understand that the criteria were approved by the Council and that we may not have authority to change them however, if there is agreement, we might recommend that the Council consider these at their next meeting.

Criteria 1 - Conservation and Management

- under Ecosystem - there are currently 2 bullet points - **I would suggest insertion of an additional point between the existing 2 bullet points as follows: The extent to which NAMMCO advice takes into account climate and environments change.**

This is different from "ecosystem approach" which typically focuses on who eats who. In this regard, the report of the second meeting of the Council in 1993 includes an item with the title "Environmental questions" - this should be followed in later reports. I also recall the Lars Walloe chaired a working group of the Scientific Committee on ecosystem modelling - I think they concluded that the lack of input data for the models was the issue rather than the models themselves - All of this needs to be included in our review of this item.

Criteria 4 - Decision Making

- **I suggest we add something like "How do resource users participate in decision making?"**

Criteria 7 - Outreach

- NAMMCO has discussed possible information functions since the inaugural meeting - As with the other issues we need to look at, summarizing this will be a significant undertaking.

Agenda 2.c – Panel Composition

From the Review Process adopted by Council 25

3. COMPOSITION OF THE REVIEW PANEL

Depending on the experience of the three experts nominated by the bodies above, a fourth panel expert could be nominated to equilibrate the field of competence covered. This fourth expert could be either nominated by an external body or NAMMCO.

Agenda 5

Comment from Dan

Would attending the March meeting of the Council help to move our work forward or perhaps holding our first face - to -face meeting in conjunction with that meeting?

It may also facilitate the interviews – if this is chosen as the way to proceed.

LIST OF OVERVIEW DOCUMENTS IN PREPARATION AT / TO BE PREPARED BY THE SECRETARIAT - PROPOSAL

1. List of Annual reports and information on the Council meetings, Scientific Committee meetings and National Progress reports they cover/refer to.
2. Time line of events/activities/ main decisions

For the Committee on Hunting Methods (CHM) and Committee on Inspection and Observation (CIO),

3. & 4. List of recommendations provided to Council and answers
 - a. List of recommendations provided to Council
 - b. Answers/Decisions from Council
 - c. Answers from Member Countries
5. Overview of the observation activities, effort and results

For the Scientific Committee (SC)

6. List of recommendations provided to the Management Committees and answers
 - a. List of recommendations by SC
 - i. Proposal for Conservation and Management (e.g., advice on quotas & sustainable takes)
 - ii. Proposal for Research
 - Must do (e.g. new abundance estimates)
 - Would improve the management advice (e.g. more genetic studies)
 - b. Answers/Decisions from Management Committees
 - c. Answers from Member Countries
7. List of requests of advice from Council to the SC and answers by the SC